

**PROCEEDINGS  
OF THE  
SEVENTH SYMPOSIUM  
ON THE  
NATURAL HISTORY OF THE BAHAMAS**

**Edited by  
Tom K. Wilson**

**Conference Organizer  
Kenneth C. Buchan**

**Bahamian Field Station, Ltd.  
San Salvador, Bahamas  
1998**

**Cover Illustration: ArcView GIS generated elevation map of San Salvador. Produced by Matt Robinson of the University of New Haven for the Bahamian Field Station**

**© Copyright 1998 by Bahamian Field Station, Ltd.**

**All Rights Reserved**

**No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in written form.**

**Printed in USA by RSMAS, University of Miami, Miami, Florida**

**ISBN 0-935909-66-4**

# ACCURACY OF PUBLISHED RECORDS OF BAHAMIAN POLYCHAETES AND INCREMENTAL SAMPLING

Charlene D. Long  
The Buffum Group  
21 1/2 Buffum Street, Salem, MA 01970

Robert Zottoli  
Fitchburg State College  
Fitchburg, MA 01420

## ABSTRACT

Teachers, researchers, and government policy makers involved in environmentally sensitive issues often make decisions based on information taken from scientific publications. In surveys of marine habitats, polychaetes are a major component. Thus, the accuracy of polychaete identifications can have an impact upon conclusions drawn not only during research studies but also upon future policies that use these studies as a source of data.

The contents of a recently compiled bibliography of publications on Bahamian polychaetes were analyzed to determine the level of accuracy of previously published reports. Comparison of reported Bahamian polychaete names to those in selected publications by experts in the field indicated that 9% of the 227 species had been misidentified. Extrapolation based on field work done on San Salvador Island, The Bahamas in 1996, revealed that the number of Bahamian polychaete species has been under reported by about 25%. As many of these specimens reported in the literature were not deposited at a major museum, reexamination and correction of the past records is not possible. On the other hand, at least 21 specimens collected from Bahamian waters and deposited in museums had been used the basis for new genus or species descriptions by experts. This data is used to suggest that sampling incrementally and, each time, comparing the species found with a previously made forecast (based on literature and previous sampling) until the forecast and sampling match, may provide a cost-effective way to determine when most species of polychaetes have been found at least once for the habitat under study.

## INTRODUCTION

Polychaetes may constitute as much as 50-60% of the standing crop of marine macroinvertebrates (Uebelacker and Johnson, 1984). Therefore, by their presence and sheer numbers alone, they are an integral portion of the marine landscape around the Bahamian Islands. They impact commercial interests (as a part of the food chain for commercial fish and as models for

underwater camera buffs), environmental stability (in forming reefs and aerating and turning over the sea bottom), and are used for applied research (indicators of pollution and other environmental changes) and basic research (e.g., parasitology, pharmacology, and toxicology). For instance, a polychaete typical of Bahamian coral reefs, *Eurythoe complanata* (Pallas, 1766), has been the subject for research on beta-adrenoceptors agonists (Suadicani, et al., 1993) and hemoglobin properties (Ilan, et al., 1990).

Because of their importance, data concerning polychaetes are used by teachers, researchers, and government policy makers involved in environmentally sensitive issues. As the data is often taken from scientific publications containing the names of specific species of polychaetes, the accuracy of polychaete identifications can impact decisions drawn during research studies and subsequently upon future policies that use these studies as a source of data.

Polychaetes are placed in the Phylum Annelida, Class Polychaeta. They are segmented worms with paired lateral body extensions (parapodia), which contain hair-like projections (setae). These two characters distinguish them from earthworms and leeches, which lack parapodia and have few, if any, setae. This deceptively simple definition encompasses worms that are both marine and freshwater, with a few that are nearly terrestrial, and with an astonishing variety in body construction, feeding methods, and reproduction. It is a large group, divided into at least 75 families (Fauchald, 1977, p. 2), and again into over a 1000 genera and 11,000 species (Hartman, 1965, p. 1).

## HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

The first polychaete referenced in recorded literature appeared at the dawn of the 18th century (Rumphius, 1705). Most of the nearly 50 papers in the 1700s were on Old World forms, but by mid-century, the American continent is mentioned, and, by the end of the century, the Caribbean (Abildgaard, 1789). Now, nearly three hundred years later, thousands of papers had been published, over 400 of them listing Caribbean species

**Figure 1: List of Doubtful Bahamian Polychaete Records. Information from Long and Zottoli (1997).**

CATEGORY	SPECIES
Identification Doubtful	<i>Antinoella angusta</i> (Verrill, 1874) <i>Eupanthalis kinbergi</i> McIntosh, 1876 <i>Leanira digitata</i>
Systematic Standing Changed	<i>Amphinome jamaicensis</i> Schmarda, 1861 <i>Clymenella zonalis</i> <i>Eunice longicirrata</i> Webster, 1884 <i>Lumbrinereis tetraura</i> (Schmarda, 1861) <i>Sabellastarte brunnea</i> (Treadwell, 1917)
Not In Caribbean Polychaete Checklists	<i>Drilonereis spiniferus</i> <i>Euchymene delineata</i> Moore, 1923 <i>Eumida</i> ( <i>Pirakia</i> ) sp. <i>Exogone verugera</i> (Claparède, 1868) <i>Halodora oahuensis</i> (McIntosh, 1885) <i>Jasmineira bilobata</i> (Day) <i>Josephella</i> sp. <i>Leiocapitella glabra</i> Hartman, 1947 <i>Nereimyra punctata</i> (Müller, 1788) <i>Poecilochaetus johnsoni</i> Hartman, 1939 <i>Potamilla neglecta</i> (Sars, 1851) <i>Sabellastarte longa</i> (Kinberg, 1867) <i>Tomopteris catharina</i> (Grosse, 1853)

**Figure 2: Polychaetes, collected May 1966, from San Salvador Island, The Bahamas.**

Family	Species
Amphinomidae Savigny, 1818	<i>Amphinome rostrata</i> (Pallas, 1776) <i>Eurythoe</i> sp.
Flabelligeridae Saint-Joseph, 1894	<i>Pherusa</i> sp.
Orbiniidae Hartman, 1942	<i>Naineris dendritica</i> (Kinberg, 1867) <i>Naineris</i> near <i>grubei</i> (Gravier, 1909) <i>Naineris setosa</i> (Verrill, 1900) <i>Protoarcia pigmentata</i> Solis-Weiss and Fauchald, 1989
Polynoidae Malmgren, 1867	<i>Harmothoe aculeata</i> Andrews, 1891
Syllidae Grube, 1850	<i>Exogone</i> sp. <i>Sphaerosyllis</i> sp.

(Perkins and Savage, 1975 and Salazar-Vallejo, 1992).

It was not until the early 1900s that a Bahamian polychaete was first mentioned (Treadwell, 1917). There was a thirty year gap before the next publication (Pearse, 1950), then an average of six publications a decade since then. To date, a total of 35 papers have been published on Bahamian polychaetes (Long and Zottoli, 1997). Of these, six were comprehensive surveys yielding at least 10

families and, at most, over 200 species. The rest covered one to five species each and most were part of systematic revisions by experts. A few included polychaetes as part of detailed studies of the biology of other animals, such as the feeding habits of octopus (Aronson, 1988 and 1989), or to test the work of others, such as the biogeographic

theory of MacArthur and Wilson (Schoener, 1974). In the latter case, the number of species was the datum of interest, not the species names; therefore, most of the polychaetes were identified first by family and then merely as species A or species B.

Long and Zottoli (1997) showed that 47 families had been reported from the Bahamas. Two hundred and twenty seven were given species names; the rest are listed as unidentified under the appropriate family. Unfortunately, few of the publications indicate where the Bahamian specimens are stored; thus, most are lost to posterity.

The small number of species thus far reported from the Bahamas belies their importance, for 5 were used as the basis of the description for a new genus and 16 for a new species.

### EVALUATION OF PREVIOUS WORK ON BAHAMIAN POLYCHAETE SPECIES

In order to evaluate the likely accuracy and completeness of previously published records of Bahamian polychaetes, we took two approaches: (1) comparing them to family revisions by experts, and (2) doing our own identifications.

Comparing the list of Bahamian species to a sampling of family revisions by experts yielded two results of interest. First, 9% of the species recorded were used as the basis for describing a new genus (5) and a new species (16) or as part of the descriptive population (1). Second, the records of another 9% were doubtful, inaccurate, or misleading.

The doubtful Bahamian polychaete records can be divided into three categories (Figure 1).

1. **Doubtful Record**  
Three species were judged as doubtful by an expert in the families reported.
2. **Reexamination Revealed Inaccurate Identification**  
One specimen was examined and referred to a different species.
3. **Geographic Locality Unlikely**  
Thirteen of the species were not in either of the two checklists of polychaetes from the Caribbean and associated waters.
4. **Systematic Revision**  
Additionally, five species have undergone significant systematic revision and are no longer recognized under the name in the Bahamian literature.

As a check on the how well the published records represented the actual number of Bahamian species, we collected and preserved (specifically for systematic study) a few families, the species of which we identified using modern systematic approaches. Various aspects of that work are found in the rough draft of a field guide of polychaetes of San Salvador, currently being used by the Bahamian Field Station, of polychaetes from San Salvador, Bahamas and in another paper ("Morphological, Reproductive, and Behavioral Adaptation of Two Interstitial Polychaetes from Submerged Mangrove Roots in a Bahamian Land-locked Marine Lake") being delivered at this conference by Robert Zottoli. At least one voucher specimen of identified species has been given to the Bahamian Field Station; the rest of have been deposited at the National Museum of Natural History.

Based on the identified species from one collecting trip to various habitats we made in 1996 to San Salvador Island (Figure 2), six species (in four families) not previously recorded have been added to the list of Bahamian polychaetes. If this 6:4 ratio is representative for all habitats, then the eventual total of species from this island could be nearly 300. We feel, however, that this figure is too low, for, 42 families and nearly 1600 species have been reported from the Caribbean and associated waters (counts based on Perkins and Savage, 1975). Discounting species that may have been reported under more than one name, we can expect to find any of these in Bahamian waters.

To summarize, based on the literature, about 9% of the previously published records of Bahamian polychaete species were used as the basis for descriptions of new genera or species, another 9% are suspect and, based on our work, the literature studied represents an understatement of a minimum of 25% of the number likely to be found in the Bahamas, yielding a potential total of over 300 species.

We are using this 300 species figure as our first forecast of the potential number of polychaete species in the Bahamas. We intend to conduct an additional sampling trip and compare the results with this figure. If the potential total is still 300 species, then we will assume our initial forecast was correct. If not, we will derive another forecast, and, again, test it with additional collecting. This incremental approach to sampling, followed by comparison with the latest forecast, should allow us to arrive at the potential number of polychaete species in the Bahamas without exhaustive sampling (excluding exotics in unusual habitats, e.g., polychaete parasites in echinoderms) for a fraction of the cost of a

full-blown, environmental survey as typically envisioned for determining local fauna.

The approach used to analyze the literature to derive a forecast of expected numbers of species and then refining the forecast through incremental sampling could be used by government officials, researchers, and teachers to plan, assess, and evaluate the results of projects. For instance, prior to launching an effort to study populations at a site where there may be a potential environmental impact, a forecast could be made with regard to the number and names of species likely to be encountered in the habitat under study. With this forecast, an initial, small scale sampling would be done and the results compared to the forecast. If the results matched the forecast, then further costly sampling would not be necessary. If not, the new data would be used to issue a revised forecast and a second small scale sampling done. Again, the results would be compared with the revised forecast, and so on until the sampling data matched the forecast.

This allows incremental refinements and would help build a model of Bahamian populations that could be used in other studies at other sites with similar habitats as well. Finally, a cost evaluation could be made of the standard approach to environmental studies--a few large scale samplings vs the one suggested here--incremental small scale samplings.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our first trip to the Bahamas was at the invitation of William Lindsay. We wish to thank him, as well as Marian Pettibone, who reviewed the manuscript and Fitchburg State College for its continued support. Our collecting efforts were greatly aided by Daniel Suchy and his staff at the Bahamian Field Station. We are especially indebted to those who completed the arduous tasks of compiling polychaete literature, e.g., Olga Hartman, Thomas Perkins and Thomas Savage, Kristian Fauchald, and Sergio Salazar-Vallejo.

#### REFERENCES

- Abildgaard, P. 1789. Beschreibung einer groszen seeblase (*Holothuria priapus* Linn.), zween arten des steinbohrers (*Terebella* Linn.), einer groszen sandröhre (*Sabella* Linn). Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berlin, Schr. 9: 133-146.
- Fauchald, K. 1977. The polychaete worms: definitions and keys to the orders, families and genera. National History Museum of Los Angeles County, Science Series 28. Pp. 188.
- Hartman, O. 1965. Catalogue of the polychaetous annelids of the world. Supplement (1960-1965) and index. Allan Hancock Foundation Publications Occasional Paper #23. Pp. 197.
- Ilan, E., A. Azem, and E. Daniel. 1990. Structural characterization and oxygen binding properties of extracellular hemoglobin from the marine polychaete *Eurythoe complanata*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B. Comp. Biochem. 96(4): 783-786.
- Long, C. and R. Zottoli. 1977. Bahamian polychaetes (Phylum Annelida, Class Polychaeta): Annotated List and Bibliography. Bahamian Field Station, San Salvador, Bahamas, and Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg, MA. Pp. 57.
- Perkins, T., and T. Savage. 1975. A bibliography and checklist of polychaetous annelids of Florida, the Gulf of Mexico, and the Caribbean Region. Florida Marine Research Publications, #14:1-62.
- Rumphius, G. 1705. D'Amoinische rariteitkamer, etc. *Vermiculi marini* "Wawo". Amsterdam. Pp. 51-54.
- Salazar-Vallejo, S. 1992. Updated checklist of polychaetes (Polychaeta) from the Gulf of Mexico, The Caribbean Sea and adjacent areas in the Western Atlantic Ocean. In Navarro, D. y E. Suárez-Morales, eds. *Diversidad Biológica en la Reserva de la Biosfera de Sian Ka'an Quintana, Roo, México*. Vol. II. CIQRO/SEDESOL. Pp. 43-76.
- Suadicani, S., J. De Freitas, and M. Sawaya. 1993. Pharmacological evidence for the presence of beta-adrenoceptor-like agonist in the amphinomid polychaete *Eurythoe complanata*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C. Comp. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 104C(2): 327-332.